

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/669,814	09/23/2003	E. Kent Miller	AGTZ 2 00052	6991		
27885 7590 07/06/2005 FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & MCKEE, LLP			EXAMINER			
			TRIEU, THERESA			
1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SEVENTH FLOOR CLEVELAND, OH 44114		LOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3748			
			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	
$\sim$	سما

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/669,814		MILLER ET AL.		
Examiner		Art Unit		
	Theresa Trieu	3748		

			00	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE R	EPLY FILED 16 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
I. ⊠ T tł p a	the reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on his application, applicant must timely file one of the follow laces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance me periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🛭	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) [	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or to the statutory period for reply expire to the statutory period for reply expire to the statutory period for reply expires to the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event.	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejecti	ion.
Evtonoi	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		126/6) and the conservic	to outonaion foo
nave be under 3 set forth nay red	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later uce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) E OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
		dianas with 27 CED 44 27 must be	filed within how we will	6 1 1
fi a	he Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comping the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extended Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
	<u>OMENTS</u>			
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
	They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
•	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	••		
	They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(0	f) They present additional claims without canceling a			
	NOTE: In response to applicants' argument that he The limitation "for limiting pivotal movement of the recitation of the intended use of the claimed inventionand the prior art in order to patentably distinguish to capable of performing the intended use, then it me use must result in a manipulative difference as cor	vane assembly" recited in claim 7 tion must result in a structural diffe the claimed invention from the prio tets the claim. In a claim drawn to mpared to the prior art. See In re (	I is an intended use re rence between the cla r art. If the prior art st a process of making, Casey, 370 F.2d 576,	citation. A nimed invention tructure is the intended 152 USPQ 235
	(CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 1, would require further search and consideration. As			
_	37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		ompliant Amendment (	(PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			•
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all on-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
h T C	for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) but the new or amended claims would be rejected is prophe status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: laim(s) allowed: 11-15.	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wi vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
	laim(s) objected to: 3-5.			
	laim(s) rejected: <u>1,6-10,16,17</u> . laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
	he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t hefore or on the date of filing a N	otice of Anneal will no	nt he entered
b	ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	e date of filing a brief	will not be
е	ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to conowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
^ 🗂				

10.  $\square$  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12	Note the attached I	Information Disclos	sure Statement(s).	. (PTO/SB/08 or	· PTO-1449) Paper I	Vo(s)	
	Other:						•

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.
Theresa Trieu
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 3748

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050630